



**Spain, Convincing Therapeutic Evidence**  
**Dr. John Campbell – 13 Feb 2021**

[https://youtu.be/oYK9-zvJF\\_k](https://youtu.be/oYK9-zvJF_k)

+++ Show Notes +++

Effect of calcifediol treatment and best available therapy versus best available therapy on intensive care unit admission and mortality among patients hospitalized for COVID-19: A pilot randomized clinical study (October 2020)

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7456194/>

n = 76, Calcifediol treatment

50 patients treated with calcifediol

One required admission to ICU (2%)

No deaths

26 untreated patients

13 required admission to ICU (50%)

2 deaths

Calcifediol treatment and COVID-19-related outcomes

(22nd January)

Barna-COVIDIOL

Barcelona

[https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3771318](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3771318)

Effect of calcifediol treatment

In admitted patients

On ICU admission

and mortality

N = 930

Randomly assigned

Calcifediol treatment group n = 551

Day one, 532 ug (21,000 iu)

Days, 3, 7, 15, 30, 266 ug (10,640 iu)

No adverse effects reported

Required ICU, 30 (5.4%)

Deaths, 36 (6.5%)

Death RR = 0.36

64% reduced chance of death

Control group n = 379

Required ICU, 80 (21.1%), p less than 0.0001

Deaths, 57 (15%), p = 0.001

Adjusted for

Age

Sex

Comorbidities

Linearized 25(OH)D levels at baseline

Treated patients

Reduced risk to require ICU

RR 0.18

Baseline 25(OH)D levels

Inversely correlated with the risk of ICU

Predictors of reduced mortality

Higher baseline 25(OH)D levels

Predictors on increased mortality

Age

Obesity

Interpretation

In patients hospitalized with COVID-19, calcifediol treatment at the time of hospitalization significantly reduced ICU admission and mortality.

Early calcifediol after admission

Prior to ARDS development, is critical for mortality reduction

Initiation of calcifediol during ICU admission did not modify patient survival

+++ Machine Generated Transcript +++

00:01 you're almost welcome to this talk and  
00:02 i'm going to give you the bottom line  
00:03 straight away in case you haven't got  
00:05 time to listen and it's a really  
00:07 important study it's on calcifediol the  
00:10 vitamin d derivative  
00:11 it's been done in barcelona in spain now  
00:14 these  
00:15 researchers they admitted 930 people and  
00:18 recruited them into the trial that is a  
00:20 good  
00:20 size 930 people in the trial now the  
00:23 treatment group were given the calcified  
00:25 eye or the vitamin d derivative  
00:27 the control group were given exactly the  
00:28 same treatment but they were not  
00:30 given the calcified diet so what were  
00:32 the results  
00:33 well in the treatment group 5.4 percent  
00:36 were admitted to the itu  
00:38 in the control group it was 21 admitted  
00:41 to the itu  
00:43 big difference so 5.4 went to itu in the  
00:45 treatment group  
00:46 21.1 percent went to itu in the uh  
00:50 control group  
00:51 in the treatment group the death rate  
00:53 and these are people that were already  
00:54 admitted to hospital of course so  
00:56 they're already poorly  
00:57 in the treatment group 6.5 percent of  
01:00 patients died in the control group  
01:02 15 of patients died so it's 6.5  
01:06 versus 15, this is massive this is a  
01:09 huge result  
01:10 all of these results were highly  
01:12 significant  
01:13 there was either one chance in a  
01:15 thousand the results could have risen by  
01:16 chance or one chance in ten thousand  
01:18 that those results could have risen by  
01:20 chance and  
01:22 to be quite honest if national  
01:24 regulators and  
01:25 people that decide clinical policy  
01:26 around the world don't start listening  
01:29 to this data now  
01:30 i think they're in breach of their duty  
01:31 of care so that's the bottom line  
01:34 massively significant study very  
01:36 positive result  
01:38 if you want to stick around we'll now go  
01:39 into the detail  
01:42 now you might remember that back in  
01:44 october last year we reported on this  
01:47 paper here  
01:49 uh now this paper here is uh  
01:52 is that this one the effect of a  
01:54 calcifediol treatment  
01:56 versus best available therapy but it was  
01:58 a pilot study now it was a randomized  
02:00 clinical study so it was good  
02:03 um but the number was only 76 patients  
02:06 so  
02:07 pretty small number of patients but the  
02:09 results were impressive  
02:10 50 patients treated with calcifediol and  
02:14 one that's two percent  
02:15 required admission to the itu no deaths  
02:18 in the treatment group in the 26  
02:21 untreated  
02:22 patients 13 that's 50 were admitted to  
02:25 the itu  
02:26 two deaths so that was um  
02:30 really quite a seminal paper really  
02:33 that was a bit of a turning point  
02:35 that was the first clinical trial data  
02:38 and we covered that extensively at the  
02:40 time and rightly so  
02:42 but that stimulated a lot of interest  
02:46 throughout spain and throughout spain  
02:48 there's about a dozen centers now  
02:49 researching this  
02:51 now that was based in cordova in the  
02:53 south of spain and andalucia  
02:54 and they've been coordinating in close  
02:57 collaboration with other universities  
02:59 academics doctors and researchers  
03:01 uh they're studying this all over spain  
03:03 now and this is the first one to come  
03:05 out so there are more to come out we  
03:06 believe this is being done in  
03:08 in 12 centres in spain already so it  
03:11 it and the results as we've seen are are  
03:14 totally convincing  
03:16 now this wasn't quite a clinical trial  
03:18 they described this as a clinical  
03:21 cohort study but i've read this paper  
03:24 i'll by the way this paper here um  
03:28 that this first one is peer-reviewed  
03:30 this second one that we're looking now  
03:32 is in lansing preprint so it's not  
03:35 peer-reviewed  
03:36 so i think it's important to emphasize  
03:38 that the pilot study we looked at in  
03:39 october is now fully pre-reviewed  
03:41 what we're going to look at now is not  
03:43 peer-reviewed but i've looked at it  
03:45 and i think it's a very high quality  
03:48 study and it will be  
03:49 it will be a pass for uh for full  
03:52 publication pretty soon  
03:54 and i suspect with minimal or no  
03:56 modifications  
03:58 because it is a very convincing study  
04:00 and it's well conducted and the stats  
04:02 are  
04:02 really good um so this is the name of  
04:06 the study here calcified dial so  
04:08 do look at it for yourself always worth  
04:10 going to the original source don't take  
04:11 my word for things  
04:13 you can download that's the abstract  
04:15 there you can download the full  
04:16 paper  
04:18 so it's all there now in the public  
04:20 domain  
04:21 now actually published on the 22nd of  
04:23 january but i didn't  
04:24 couldn't get access to the full paper  
04:26 yesterday so i think the until  
04:28 has just been put into the public domain  
04:30 so this is pretty um  
04:32 pretty new stuff calciferol treatments  
04:34 and covered 19 related outcomes as the  
04:36 title of the paper  
04:37 22nd of january and it's the the banner  
04:40 cover dial  
04:42 study that's the this is the name of the  
04:43 study  
04:45 and this particular branch of the study  
04:46 was conducted and i think it's called  
04:48 the hospital by the sea  
04:50 in barcelona northern spain of course  
04:54 right pre-prints with the lancet um  
04:57 now effects of calcified oil treatment  
04:59 now these are patients that were already  
05:01 admitted to hospital  
05:03 so that's important to grasp these  
05:05 patients were ready  
05:07 poorly enough to be admitted to the  
05:08 hospital and this was all during the  
05:11 first wave  
05:12 during the first wave of the pandemic  
05:14 and this date is only just getting  
05:15 fully assimilated and crunched now pity  
05:17 has taken so long because the results  
05:19 are so significant  
05:21 so admitted patients already poorly  
05:24 patients  
05:25 is the point to grasp there so in  
05:28 admitted patients  
05:30 what difference did the calcified dial  
05:32 what on icu admission  
05:35 what difference did it have on mortality  
05:37 what was what they were looking at and  
05:38 the number in the study was 930  
05:41 and they were randomly assigned either  
05:43 into the treatment group  
05:47 to get the actual calcifediol or into  
05:51 the control  
05:52 group not to get the calcifediol  
05:56 in every other way these groups were  
05:59 treated  
05:59 in exactly the same way as per hospital  
06:02 protocol so the only difference between  
06:04 the two groups was the calcified dial  
06:06 we call that the independent variable  
06:09 and  
06:10 these are the dependent variables the  
06:12 question is were these dependent  
06:13 variables i see your admission  
06:15 and mortality dependent on the  
06:17 independent variable  
06:19 which was giving the calcified dial  
06:22 so this is the way these clinical trials  
06:25 and cohort studies clinical cohorts that  
06:27 is in this case  
06:29 work now just before we go on to the  
06:32 details of the paper itself i just want  
06:33 to give you a quick  
06:35 um quick revision really so my diagrams  
06:41 that i do for for students  
06:43 so here we have the skin and the uh  
06:46 the calciferol which is the vitamin d3  
06:49 is going to be synthesized mostly in the  
06:51 lower parts of the  
06:52 skin and the skin as you might remember  
06:55 is in two parts this top part is the  
06:57 epidermis this lower part is the dermis  
06:59 so the uh the cholecalciferol the  
07:02 vitamin d3 is synthesized in the  
07:05 dermis when it's exposed to ultraviolet  
07:07 b  
07:09 radiation then this coley  
07:12 uh this cholecalciferol that's been  
07:14 synthesized  
07:15 goes off in the blood to the liver the  
07:18 biochemical factory of the body  
07:20 and in the liver it's converted to  
07:22 calcifediol  
07:24 now this is the form that is circulating  
07:26 in the blood so this goes off into the  
07:28 blood  
07:31 so this is the form of the vitamin d  
07:33 that when we're doing the blood levels  
07:35 this is the form that we're looking at  
07:37 but it's not actually the final form the  
07:39 body uses now it's the best bio marker  
07:41 to use  
07:42 so when we're analyzing vitamin d levels  
07:44 this is the best one to use  
07:46 for quite a few reasons for example it's  
07:47 got a long half-life  
07:49 it stays in the circulation for weeks  
07:51 the half-life is about 12 to 21 days so  
07:54 it let's stick around sticks around for  
07:55 a long time  
07:56 and it's in much higher concentrations  
07:58 than the final one but the final product  
08:00 here is calcitriol  
08:04 kidneys  
08:05 and in the kidneys it's converted into  
08:08 calcitriol  
08:09 which is the active hormone and then  
08:12 it's this calcitriol hormone  
08:14 that goes out to all of the tissues of  
08:15 the body such as the immune system  
08:18 and all the tissues in the body with  
08:19 vitamin d receptors which is basically  
08:21 most of the cells or indeed all of the  
08:23 cells  
08:24 in the body so that's the way it works  
08:26 so this is important  
08:28 because this keeps the liver supplied  
08:30 with the calcifediol  
08:31 to convert into calcitriol which is the  
08:33 active form  
08:34 but obviously there's not enough of that  
08:36 you can't make enough of that  
08:38 but if you give more of that then you  
08:40 end up with more of that so you end up  
08:42 more of the active hormone which is what  
08:45 we want  
08:49 if we give if we give more now  
08:53 if we give vitamin d of course this is  
08:55 vitamin d made from the skin its sun if  
08:57 we give vitamin d via the  
08:58 gastrointestinal tract  
09:00 um that will of course uh go to the  
09:01 liver the colon calcium will go to the  
09:03 liver to be converted to calcifediol  
09:05 but this process of converting that  
09:09 into that in the liver takes uh quite a  
09:12 bit of time  
09:13 um it's not like um a week or two to  
09:16 convert it so there's always a delay  
09:19 that's why when we give this in clinical  
09:21 practice it's working straight away  
09:24 so i think that's important to realize  
09:26 anyway  
09:28 let's get back to the back to the study  
09:32 um so calcifediol treatment group now  
09:36 there was a  
09:38 551 went into the treatment group  
09:41 now on day one this is the first day of

09:43 admission to hospital so remember  
09:45 poorly patients admitted to hospital  
09:48 soon as they came into hospital  
09:49 they started the treatment as soon as  
09:51 they're admitted so day one  
09:53 they gave them 532 micrograms that's 21  
09:57 000 international units of calcified io  
10:00 and then they gave 266 micrograms that's  
10:04 10  
10:06 640 international units of calcifidiol  
10:09 on day 3 and day 7  
10:12 and day 15 and day 30 if they were still  
10:15 in hospital  
10:16 so they had a total of one two three  
10:19 four five doses of high doses it must be  
10:23 said reasonably high doses of the  
10:24 calcifidiol  
10:26 the reason they use the calcified diol  
10:28 as we've said  
10:29 is it is absorbed very efficiently  
10:31 through the gastrointestinal tract  
10:32 100 of it's absorbed straight into the  
10:34 blood it will stay in the blood for  
10:37 several weeks it will be measurable it's  
10:39 got a long half-life  
10:40 and it means there's any amount of  
10:43 calcifidiol  
10:44 in the blood that the kidneys can  
10:46 convert to the active form of calcitrol  
10:49 so we'll get the effect of the active  
10:51 hormone  
10:52 in the blood so if we gave this first  
10:55 we'd have to wait for a couple weeks to  
10:57 get to this stage so by giving this we  
10:59 save all that time  
11:01 and convert this into this will just  
11:03 take a matter of hours  
11:05 rather than a matter of uh a week or  
11:08 many days or a week and of course if  
11:10 patient patients are already poorly  
11:12 the whole point is we don't have a week  
11:14 to wait they could die in that week  
11:16 after they've been admitted to hospital  
11:17 we certainly don't have a fortnight we  
11:18 have to give the kalki to dial  
11:20 to be quick acting and the spanish seem  
11:23 to have realized this when everyone else  
11:25 doesn't now let me ask you why don't  
11:27 people get this is that is that is what  
11:29 i've just told you they're complicated  
11:31 you know is that is that hard to  
11:33 understand it really is  
11:35 why don't people get this and why isn't  
11:36 everyone doing it really is  
11:39 a bit of a mystery anyway back to the  
11:41 study keep the emotion out of it  
11:44 um now no adverse effects reported now  
11:48 this is  
11:48 staggering this is staggering  
11:52 this appears to have no side effects  
11:57 i mean every every every time you learn  
11:59 about a drug you learn about the  
12:00 indications contraindications and side  
12:02 effects  
12:02 this is we would memorize this like  
12:04 parrots so you know you you give a drug  
12:07 you learn what it's for the indication  
12:09 the contraindications is when you must  
12:10 not give it and all drugs have side  
12:12 effects  
12:12 so you have to memorize the side effects  
12:14 nausea vomiting dry mouth whatever it  
12:16 happens to be  
12:18 um but this calcified diol had no  
12:20 reported adverse effects  
12:22 it appears to be completely safe  
12:26 so that really alters the risk benefit  
12:28 analysis  
12:29 you know there's no identified risk from  
12:31 this in in these hospitalized patients  
12:33 from this study  
12:35 no identified risks identified in this  
12:37 study so  
12:39 um you know if we can have some benefit  
12:42 and you're taking essentially as far as  
12:44 we know  
12:44 essentially no risk at all what what's  
12:47 not to like why not why not do it  
12:50 because it's safe according to this  
12:53 study no adverse effects reported  
12:56 now the calciferol treatment group um  
13:00 30 patients so 30 patients of the  
13:04 551 had to go to the intensive care  
13:07 that's 5.4 percent  
13:10 now if we go down to the control group  
13:12 uh there was uh  
13:14 379 in the control group who did not  
13:17 did not have the calcified aistle then 80  
13:21 of those that's 21  
13:23 had to go to the intensive care and the  
13:25 chances of that difference arising by  
13:27 chance are not one in  
13:28 10 not 100 not one in a thousand but one  
13:31 in ten thousand so there's a one in ten  
13:33 thousand chance  
13:35 that is not a genuine result but  
13:38 uh it looks like it is a genuine result  
13:41 so it's nine thousand nine hundred and  
13:43 ninety nine out of ten thousand  
13:44 likely to be a genuine result  
13:48 greatly reduced admission to the  
13:50 intensive care unit  
13:52 now what about deaths well out of the  
13:54 551  
13:56 admitted patients already poorly  
13:59 who were given the calcifidiol given the  
14:01 treatment  
14:04 36 of the 551 died  
14:08 so 36 out of 551 died  
14:12 giving a death rate of 6.5 percent  
14:17 in the uh control group who were treated  
14:19 in exactly the same way  
14:21 but uh were not given the calcified dial  
14:26 then uh 57 of those out of the 379 died  
14:30 that's  
14:31 15 died and the probability of that  
14:35 arising by chance were one in a thousand  
14:39 again massively significant  
14:42 results these sort of results don't  
14:45 happen by chance very often well they  
14:48 happen when out of 10 000 times i think  
14:50 we know that that happens one out of a  
14:51 thousand times  
14:52 so i'm happy that these are genuine  
14:54 results  
14:56 so the death relative risk was a 36  
14:59 percent or to put it another way  
15:01 64 reduced 64 reduced  
15:04 chance of death that's the chance of  
15:07 death  
15:08 that's the likely adverse effects of the  
15:09 drug why don't we use it  
15:12 really is quite incredible that this is  
15:15 not widely adopted so that's the  
15:16 difference between the  
15:17 calcification treatment group 551  
15:22 and the control group 379  
15:26 huge difference between the two  
15:29 groups massive uh massive difference  
15:33 between the groups  
15:35 um now they went on and did more more  
15:38 analysis than this is pretty pretty  
15:40 thorough study actually  
15:41 um  
15:45 now the they went back and they took the  
15:46 data and they adjusted for  
15:49 age sex comorbidities and this is quite  
15:52 a clever bit  
15:54 most of the people that were admitted  
15:55 had relatively low vitamin d levels but  
15:58 they uh they were able to linear  
16:00 linearize that in other words they could  
16:02 take account whether it was uh  
16:04 very low levels or medium levels or  
16:06 medium high levels or higher  
16:08 levels and they're able to put that in a  
16:10 linear structure to account for that  
16:13 now the treated patients another way  
16:15 they worked it out was reduced risk to  
16:17 itu the relative risk was 18  
16:19 it was 0.18 so that means  
16:22 if you're treated you've got 18 of the  
16:24 chance of going to intensive care  
16:26 compared to uh someone who's not treated  
16:30 so massively reduced chance of going to  
16:32 intensive care  
16:33 and the other thing i like about this  
16:35 they also so this is calcify dial as  
16:38 a treatment  
16:39 but of course they measured calcified  
16:41 levels in the patients they were  
16:42 admitted  
16:43 and the the calcified io levels in the  
16:45 patients that were admitted would be as  
16:46 a result of vitamin d they'd taken in  
16:48 the weeks  
16:49 before they were admitted so they looked  
16:52 at that as well  
16:52 the baseline levels of calcifidiol based  
16:55 on the person's vitamin d  
16:57 either sun exposure or dietary exposure  
16:59 or supplement exposure  
17:00 over the past few weeks and they found  
17:02 an inverse correlation with the risk of  
17:04 intensive care  
17:07 in other words in other words as the  
17:10 levels of vitamin d rose the probability  
17:14 of going to intensive care  
17:15 decreased whereas people with lower  
17:18 levels of intensive care  
17:20 low levels of lower levels of vitamin d  
17:22 on admission were  
17:23 more likely to go to intensive care they  
17:25 were inversely  
17:27 related so high levels of vitamin d less  
17:30 likelihood to go to intensive care this  
17:32 inverse  
17:33 correlation was detected as well  
17:39 predictions of reduced mortality  
17:42 higher baseline levels so in in other  
17:46 words um mortality was inversely related  
17:48 as well  
17:49 so to predict reduce mortality of those  
17:52 with higher baseline levels of vitamin d  
17:55 were less likely to die  
17:58 um but the reason to put that like that  
18:01 is there was also predictors of  
18:03 increased mortality  
18:05 and the people that were more likely to  
18:07 die were the older people  
18:09 and the more obese people so high levels  
18:13 of vitamin  
18:14 d on admission less likely to die  
18:17 greater age  
18:18 greater body mass index uh  
18:22 more more likely to die during the study  
18:24 less likely to die  
18:26 more likely to die in the study now  
18:30 we've gone over this sort of fairly  
18:32 quickly really but i  
18:34 just want to just want to go back and  
18:35 reflect on something really  
18:37 in the control group  
18:40 that didn't get the treatment there were  
18:42 there was 57  
18:43 deaths so in a sense that's  
18:46 57 people that have given their lives  
18:49 for us to have this information  
18:51 this is how precious this information  
18:55 is if those people have been given the  
18:57 calcify dial which to be quite honest  
18:59 the doctor suspected would work  
19:02 um a lot less of them we believe from  
19:05 this data  
19:06 would have died and yet  
19:09 with great nobility that they agreed to  
19:11 take part in this trial so  
19:14 uh we know we just read through these  
19:15 numbers but these are human beings that  
19:17 have sacrificed themselves essentially  
19:19 for this data  
19:21 and i think that gives us a real moral  
19:23 responsibility  
19:26 um to use this data to help  
19:30 the rest of the human race to be quite  
19:31 honest without being too melodramatic  
19:33 about it  
19:36 right the interpretation of this study  
19:40 um direct quote  
19:45 in patients hospitalized with covered 19  
19:47 calcifidiol treatment  
19:49 at the time of hospitalization that's  
19:51 got to be given at the time of  
19:51 hospitalization  
19:53 reduces significantly reduces very  
19:56 significantly reduces  
19:58 intensive care admission and mortality  
20:02 and as i said at the start i really feel  
20:04 now  
20:06 that groups like the nice in this  
20:09 country and  
20:10 whoever makes the rules around the world  
20:13 now are going to fail in their duty of  
20:16 care  
20:17 if they don't take cognizance of this  
20:20 safe treatment now how it's working is a  
20:23 bit of a separate matter i mean  
20:24 basically these people are already  
20:26 admitted so they could have still some  
20:27 of them could have still been in the  
20:28 viral phase  
20:30 and we know that vitamin d is important  
20:31 for immunity but we also know that  
20:33 vitamin d is an immunomodulator so it  
20:35 would damp down the inflammation  
20:38 in the inflammatory phase and the people  
20:40 with the higher levels of calcifidiol  
20:42 would have less acute respiratory  
20:43 distress syndrome  
20:45 the alveoli wouldn't be filling up with  
20:47 fluid in the same way  
20:49 therefore less likely to be admitted to  
20:50 intensive care and less likely to  
20:53 die so that seems to be the way that  
20:55 this is uh  
20:57 this is working now the calcified diol  
20:59 treatment had to be early after  
21:01 admission  
21:03 these people were given the council for  
21:05 dial as soon as they were admitted  
21:07 and this is important because if you  
21:10 waited  
21:12 until these people deteriorated and went  
21:14 on to intensive care  
21:15 it was too late it didn't alter the  
21:17 outcome  
21:18 like many things in covered the the  
21:21 treatment is  
21:22 specific to a particular time  
21:26 so early calciferol dial treatment was  
21:28 necessary after admission  
21:30 prior to the development of acute  
21:32 respiratory distress syndrome  
21:34 it's critical for mortality reduction so  
21:36 if you wait for the ards  
21:38 if you wait for the cytokine storm to  
21:40 cause the acute respiratory distress  
21:42 syndrome  
21:43 if you wait for the alveoli to fill up  
21:45 with fluid  
21:46 the fluids already there it's too late  
21:49 it needs to promote the inflammation  
21:51 it needs to prevent the immunity and  
21:52 prevent the inflammation as the immuno  
21:54 modulated before  
21:56 the alveoli fill up with inflammatory  
21:58 fluid and you can't get the oxygen out  
22:00 and you can't get the carbon dioxide  
22:02 back in  
22:03 needs to happen before that  
22:06 phase indication  
22:10 of calcifidiol diol so initiation of  
22:12 calcifidiol during intensive care  
22:14 admission did not modify patient  
22:16 survival  
22:17 so it needs to be done  
22:20 so ideally ideally vitamin d  
22:24 is going to be given at a much earlier  
22:27 stage  
22:27 so when patients are admitted if they  
22:29 are admitted they've already got high  
22:30 levels of calcifidiol in the blood  
22:34 if they haven't it's not too late it can  
22:36 be topped up the calcifidar can be  
22:38 topped up  
22:39 when they're first admitted but if you  
22:41 wait for them to deteriorate then it  
22:42 doesn't have a benefit it is too  
22:45 late so i think that is just an  
22:49 absolutely massive finding  
22:51 treatment group 5.4 admitted to the itu  
22:56 control group um so  
22:59 treatment group 5.4 admitted to the itu  
23:01 control group 21.1  
23:03 admitted to the itu 5.4 versus 21  
23:08 treatment group 6.5 deaths control group  
23:12 15 deaths  
23:17 those figures are now there in the  
23:19 literature that will be peer reviewed  
23:20 soon  
23:21 more data will be coming out shortly to  
23:23 be quite honest we've been anticipating  
23:26 this on  
23:27 this channel for example for a year now  
23:30 over a year now actually um literally  
23:33 over 12 months now  
23:34 and um i really feel strongly now that  
23:38 if international um bodies are not  
23:41 taking cognizance of this  
23:42 um well they're failing in their duty of  
23:46 care and  
23:46 that's the mildest way i can put it so  
23:49 we call on the  
23:50 the national institute for health and  
23:52 care excellence in the uk to review this  
23:54 data  
23:56 urgently and other people around the  
24:00 world this this treatment is  
24:01 uh very cheap when i say it costs  
24:04 pennies literally  
24:06 it costs pennies it probably costs about  
24:08 10 cents per tablet or something if that  
24:10 um it's off license  
24:14 pharmaceutical companies won't make any  
24:15 money from it  
24:17 because it's off license but it's freely  
24:19 available to  
24:20 to the world this is this is a gift to  
24:22 the world it's a really really cheap  
24:25 and from this we believe highly  
24:27 efficacious  
24:30 intervention and uh i look forward to  
24:34 rapid um review of these results  
24:38 but by national bodies as you and me  
24:40 have just done  
24:41 on this video um  
24:45 given past performance i'm not too  
24:48 hopeful but the data now is so strong i  
24:50 really feel  
24:51 they will start to have to act uh soon  
24:55 okay well that's us it's a weekend so uh  
24:57 we'll leave it there for today now i  
24:58 don't want to dilute that that's such an  
25:00 important message so um  
25:02 so we'll leave it there so thank you for  
25:04 watching and have a great  
25:05 rest of your weekend